1 08 MAR 24 PH 3:44 Christine Chang Pro Se, Individually 2 And Eric Sun, disabled 341 Tideway Drive #214 3 Alameda, CA 94501 Telephone: (510) 769-8232 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 CHRISTINE CHANG, individually ) Case No: C-07-4005 EMC And ERIC SUN, disabled PLAINTIFFS MOTION 11 Plaintiffs, FOR JUDGE CHEN'S RECUSAL BASED ON 12 PERSONAL BIAS AND VS. PREJUDICE AND IN **FAVOR OF ADVERSE** PARTY. 28 U.S.C.S. **ROCKRIDGE MANOR** 14 Section 144. CONDOMINIUM et al. 15 Defendants. 16 Date: Courtroom: 17 Judge: 18 19 FACTUAL BACKGROUND 1. Plaintiffs Chang and Sun, single mother and son, were severely discriminated and 20

1. Plaintiffs Chang and Sun, single mother and son, were severely discriminated and persecuted by the Rockridge Manor Manager, President, Board of Directors, and several homeowners, starting 1997 until September 2003, when Plaintiffs were forced to sell their home of thirteen years and move away.

24

21

22

11

12 13

14

16

18 l

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

- 2. Plaintiffs Chang and Sun's state actions starting 2001 until 2005 were sabotaged by the Rockridge Manor Condominium Defendants assisting by the Defense Attorneys from the very beginning until ending in April 2005.
- 3. Plaintiffs' original complaint in this instant action No. C-07-4005, paragraph 66 through 110, clearly stated how Plaintiffs' five attorneys defrauded against Plaintiffs on behalf of the Rockridge Manor Condominium Defendants in the state action.
- 4. In the original complaint of this action, paragraph 67 through 70, Plaintiffs clearly stated how Plaintiffs' attorney, Brett Allen, secretly filed the first amended complaint in the state action, against the Rockridge Manor Homeowners Association, to absolve the Rockridge Manor Manager, President, and Board of Directors' personal liabilities owed to Plaintiffs.
- 5. In the original complaint of this action, paragraph 68 through 70, Plaintiffs clearly stated how Plaintiffs' attorney, Brett Allen, defrauded against Plaintiffs who were unaware of Brett Allen filing first amended complaint against Rockridge Manor Homeowners Association within weeks after he filed the original state action.
- 6. In the original complaint of this action, paragraph 70, Plaintiffs stated clearly how Rockridge Manor Individual Defendants turned Plaintiffs'state action against Plaintiffs, which subsequently causing aggravated assault/battery against Plaintiffs, on the same date of Board of Directors announced the Rockridge Manor Homeowners Association was being sued by Plaintiffs.
- 7. In this instant action, Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants Rockridge Manor Homeowners Associations Motion to Dismiss and for Summary Judgment, filed on December 26, 2007, page 4, paragraph 2, Plaintiffs clearly stated and provided evidence including the state action initial complaint and first amended complaint and relevant communication with Plaintiffs' attorney, Brett Allen. The evidence attached to the Opposition (exhibits 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.8, 3.92, 3.93 and 3.94) clearly established that Plaintiffs were unaware of the existence of state action first amended complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

# **JUDGE CHEN'S ORDER**

- 8. In the Order Granting Defendants' Motions to Dismiss...and Summary Judgment (filed on February 13, 2008, page 9), it stated that Plaintiffs contended being fraudulently induced into signing the release by Plaintiffs' attorneys. Even if as a theoretical matter, fraud in the inducement could vitiate a release, the Court finds this argument unavailing.
- 9. In page 13, it stated that the complaint fails to identify facts such as the times, dates, and places of the alleged fraud. In fact, the Court does not discern where in the complaint Plaintiffs have alleged any false representation, concealment, or nondisclosure by the Homeowners Association. The elements of fraud are:
- 11 (1) a misrepresentation; (2) knowledge of falsity; (3) intent to defraud;
- (4) justifiable reliance; (5) resulting damage.....(A defendant's fraud in concealing a 12 cause of action against him will toll the statute of limitations, and that tolling will 13 14 | last as long as a plaintiff's reliance on the misrepresentations is reasonable).

## 15 No specific fraudulent misrepresentation is alleged.

10. In page 14, it stated that such a far reaching fantasticconspiracy...but in any event Plaintiffs fail to allege any specific facts....Plaintiffs' assertion that all of their attorneys were involved in the conspiracy, in particular, the two Attorney Defendants 19 sued herein, Ms. Zimba and Mr. Coombes. Plaintiffs contend that, as part of the 20 conspiracy, the two Attorney Defendants conspired with the Homeowners Association and others to turn the suit against Ms. Celaya against her and pressured Plaintiffs into accepting a low settlement from the Homeowners Association to resolve the second state court lawsuit. However, that her own attorneys would have so conspired makes no logical sense since this would have been against the attorneys' own economic interest. According to Plaintiffs, the attorneys forced Plaintiffs to enter a new retainer agreement that would give them 33 1/3% of any damage award. It makes no sense why the attorneys would undermine cases in which they had an economic interest. Opp'n at 9.

1	11. In page 15, it stated "As a final point, it is worth noting that, at the hearing,		
2	Plaintiffs claimed that, based on their inability to attract counsel for this case, the		
3	massive conspiracy against them had spread even further to include a bar association		
4	referral service. Once again, this demonstrates that Plaintiffs' claim of conspiracy is		
5	ultimately nothing more than speculation. Indeed, not only does the conspiracy		
6	allegation fall far short of Bell Atlantic, it is patently fanciful and insubstantial.		
7	(28 U.S.C. Section 1915 gives a court "the unusual power to pierce the veil of the		
8	complaint's factual allegations and dismiss those claims whose factual contentions		
9	are clearly baseless" - e.g., "fantastic or delusional scenarios" in cases filed in		
10	forma pauperis).		
11	12. In page 19, it statedsince the conspiracy allegations are so implausible		
12	fantastic.		
13	13. In page 22, it statedAs for the alleged conspiracy between the University		
14	employees and the other Defendants, the contention is sheer speculation lacking		
15	plausibility.		
16	14. In page 23, it stated "The conspiracy claim is fantastic, speculative and		
17	implausible and not subject to repair by amendment.		
18	<u>ISSUES TO BE DECIDED</u>		
19	15. Whether Plaintiffs are entitled to have another judge to hear the case.		
20	16. Whether Plaintiffs are entitled to have another judge to decide the merit of		

- Plaintiffs' reconsideration of Court Order granting Defendants Rockridge Manor Condominium's motion to dismiss and summary judgment (filed February 29, 2008).
- 17. Plaintiffs find the Court Order being bias, prejudice, and in favor of adverse party. Plaintiffs believe that the bias is personal as opposed to judicial in nature.
- 18. The Order stated Plaintiffs as "fantastic conspiracy, ultimately nothing more than speculation, patently fanciful and insubstantial, fantastic or delusional scenarios, forma pauperis, so implausible fantastic, sheer speculation lacking

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

# plausibility, fantastic, speculative and implausible.

19. Whether Plaintiffs are entitled to have another judge to decide the merit of Plaintiffs' complaint and all filings, Plaintiffs' truthfulness in filing the complaint and all court documents, and Plaintiff Chang's truthfulness in the hearing argument.

## PLAINTIFFS' ARGUMENT AND EVIDENCE

- 20. The Order stated that "Even if as a theoretical matter, fraud in the inducement could vitiate a release, the Court finds this argument unavailing. In Plaintiffs' original complaint (filed August 3, 2007, paragraph 67 thru 77) and Opposition to Defendants Rockridge Manor homeowners associations motion to dismiss and for summary judgment (filed December 26, 2007, page 4, paragraph 2), had clearly demonstrated with evidence that the Defendants Rockridge Manor Condominium corrupted Plaintiffs' attorney, Brett Allen, who fraudulently file the state action first amended complaint suing the homeowners association, while Plaintiffs intended to sue the Individual Defendants Rockridge Manor Manager, President, and Board of Directors. After the state action first amended complaint was filed, the Rockridge Manor Condominium individual defendants immediately hired defense attorneys paying by homeowners association funds, and aroused homeowners hatred against Plaintiffs who were aggravately assaulted and battered by The Regents of University of California Defendant Constance Celaya. Between October 2001 the fraudulent state action first amended complaint filing and its adjournment in April 2005, Plaintiffs were unaware of its existence but were harmed tremendously sustained physical, psychological, and economic injuries.
- 21. The Order stated that the complaint fails to identify facts....the Court does not discern where in the complaint Plaintiffs have alleged any false representation, concealment, or nondisclosure by the Homeowners Association. It also stated "A defendant's fraud in concealing a cause of action against him will toll the statute of limitations...). No specific fraudulent misrepresentation is alleged.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

1	schedules starting August 20 through September 2.	Upon Defendant Zimba's subpoena

Plaintiffs twelve treating doctors for depositions between September 6 and 10, 2004, 2

while Rockridge Manor trial date was on September 10, 2004, and defense attorneys

had not taken any deposition of Plaintiffs' expert witnesses and treating doctors,

Plaintiff Chang believed that the upcoming trial would be sabotaged by Plaintiffs' 5

attorneys and defense attorneys, in order to keep Plaintiffs hostage prevented from

appealing the assault/battery action judgment, and to facilitate Defendants Zimba and

Coombes' continuous extortion forcing Plaintiffs to hire another team of attorneys and expert witnesses to enable the appeal of sabotaged Rockridge Manor action.

27. Defendants Zimba and Coombes did not expect Plaintiff Chang accepting the low amount of settlement from Rockridge Manor simply to protect Plaintiff Sun from being further injured by these two greedy, deceitful, and despicable Defendants Zimba and Coombes, who expected to have secured their 33 1/3% of Plaintiffs damage award irrespective of their intended injuries against Plaintiffs in both state actions.

28. In the Order granting Defendants Rockridge Manor motion to dismiss and summary judgment, filed on February 13, 2008, page 10, it stated "However, the transcript of the trial in the (assault/battery) Celaya case, which the Court has reviewed, does not demonstrate Ms. Zimba "framed" her own client.

19 29. Plaintiffs' complaint in this instant action No. C-07-4005 EMC, paragraphs 20 92, 93 and 94, clearly stated that Defendant Zimba knew Plaintiffs Chang and Sun were 21 being aggravated assaulted/battered by the Defendant/Assailant Celaya helplessly, and 22 at all relevant times, Plaintiff Chang was being pushed/punched/choked/choked-hold/ 23 slammed against the laundryroom door/wall/locker, and Plaintiff Sun, disabled, was 24 being punched/hit/scratched up by the Defendant/Assailant Celaya. Zimba also knew Plaintiffs Chang and Sun were completely defenseless being attacked by the Defendant/ Assailant Celaya using police offensive skills, and at all relevant times, Plaintiffs Chang and Sun did not know how to raise hand at the Defendant/Assailant or anyone.

25

26

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- But Defendant Zimba made Plaintiffs the assailants in the assault/battery trial on
- August 5, 2004 exhibits, page 81, line 6-7; page 83, line 22, 25, 27; page 85, line 22, 25; 2
- page 86, line 2; page 89, line 7, 21-22, 28; page 90, line 1, 4, 5, 9, 10-11, 13, 19-21, 3
- 4 page 91, line 7-9, 12, 14, 23-25; page 92, line 3-4, 6-7, 9-10; page 93, line 22-23, 25,
- 27-28; page 95, line 2-3, 6-7, 9, 11-12, 14-15, 16-17, 19-20; page 97, line 13, 15. 5
  - 30. Plaintiffs cannot comprehend the Court Order (page 10) stating "However, the transcript of the trial in the Celaya case, which the Court has reviewed, does not demonstrate Ms. Zimba "framed" her own client. Attached transcript exhibits.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

- 31. 28 U.S.C.S. Section 144 provides in pertinent part that whenever a party to any proceeding in a district court makes and files a timely and sufficient affidavit that the judge before whom the matter is pending has a personal bias or prejudice either against him or in favor of any adverse party, such judge shall proceed no further therein, but another judge shall be assigned to hear such proceeding.
- 32. Under 28 U.S.C.S. Section 455(a), a judge shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned.
- 33. In the recent Court Order granting Defendants Rockridge Manor Condominium, Homeowners Association, and Board of Directors motion to dismiss and summary judgment, it stated Plaintiffs as "fantastic conspiracy, ultimately nothing more than speculation, patently fanciful and insubstantial, fantastic or delusional scenarios, forma pauperis, so implausible fantastic, sheer speculation lacking plausibility, fantastic, speculative and implausible.
- 34. The Court Order dismissed Plaintiffs complaint and opposition to Defendants' dismissal and summary judgment stating Plaintiffs as either "fantastic or delusional scenarios, implausible fantastic, sheer speculation lacking plausibility", or otherwise, "No specific fraudulent misrepresentation is alleged."
  - 35. In this instant motion for recusal, paragraphs 28-30, and attached exhibits,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 |

25 l

261

27

which provided specific facts and evidence proving the Court has a personal bias and prejudice against Plaintiffs, and in favor of adverse party in this instant action No. C-07-4005 EMC.

## **CONCLUSION**

- 36. Plaintiffs Chang and Sun, mother and son, who have had experienced severe discrimination and persecutions in the Rockridge Manor Condominium community where Plaintiffs had lived nearly 13 years. Plaintiffs had done no harm to anyone in the community and often lend a helping hand to all our community families.
- 37. Because the Rockridge Manor Condominium's Manager, President, and Board of Directors' greed and personal gains; they discriminated, persecuted, and aroused hatred against Plaintiffs causing psychological, physical, and economic injuries.
- 38. When Plaintiffs sought legal action against these Rockridge Manor Individual Defendants, somehow these Defendants were able to undermine Plaintiffs in every aspects, including manipulating and controlling all Plaintiffs' attorneys turning Plaintiffs cause of actions against Plaintiffs.
- 39. Plaintiffs Chang and Sun are average citizens who have no fame, power, or significant background, and are completely shocked to this date at the disparage treatments including aggravated assault/battery, being extorted and defeated at every legal proceedings by Plaintiffs' own attorneys, and losing our home where we planned to live our whole lives.
- 40. Plaintiff Chang is no where near to be a fantastic conspiracy, ultimately nothing more than speculation, patently fanciful and insubstantial, fantastic or delusional scenarios, forma pauperis, so implausible fantastic, sheer speculation lacking plausibility, fantastic, speculative and implausible "person", as the Court has stated in the Order. And there has had no one ever think of Plaintiff Chang as such person as the Court has stated.

41. Although Plaintiff Chang is aware that the Defense Attorneys would very much like to paint that picture as who Plaintiff Chang is. 42. Plaintiffs have great hope in this instant action to find justice, remedy, and most of all, answers as to why all Plaintiffs' attorneys extorted legal fees and sabotaged against Plaintiffs' cause of actions aiding and abetting Defendants. 43. Plaintiffs have provided specific facts and relevant evidence for Court's recusal and another assigned judge to hear the instant action proceedings. Dated: March 24, 2008 Christ Chang Christine Chang, Plaintiff

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Document 151

- I, CHRISTINE CHANG, hereby certify that on March 24, 2008, I forwarded a true and correct copy of:
- 1. Plaintiffs motion for Judge Chen's recusal
- 2. Affidavit of Plaintiffs in support of motion for recusal
- 3. Declaration of Plaintiff Chang in late service of Defendant Eva Ammann

to Defendants' Counsels by placing a true copy and thereof in a sealed Envelope with first class postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Gaylynn Kirn Conant Lombardi, Loper & Conant, LLP Lake Merritt Plaza 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 2600 Oakland, CA 94612-3541

Paul A. Conroy Allman & Nielsen 100 Larkspur Landing Circle Suite 212 Larkspur, CA 94939

Lee J. Danforth Coddington, Hicks & Danforth 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 300 Redwood Shores, Redwood City, California 94065-2133

Andrew Adler Boornazian, Jensen Garthe 555 12th Street, Suite 1800 Oakland, CA 94607

Albert F. Coombes 15915 Ventura Blvd., Penthouse 4 Encino, CA 91436

Edward Rodzewich Valvrian, Patterson and Stratman 1650 Harbor Parkway, Suite 100 Alameda, CA 94502

I caused such envelopes to be placed for collection and mailing in the United States Mail at San Francisco, California.

Dated: March 24, 2008

By Chit Change

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA BEFORE THE HONORABLE CECILIA CASTELLANOS, JUDGE DEPARTMENT NO. 18 ---000---NO. 2002046048 CHRISTINE CHANG AND ERIC SUN, 10 Plaintiff. 11 vs. CONSTANCE PEPPERS CELAYA, 13 Defendant. 14 15 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS ADMINISTRATION BUILDING 16 ALAMEDA COUNTY OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 17 AUGUST 5, 2004 18 19 20 APPEARANCES: 21 LAW OFFICE OF PAMELA ZIMBA 110 East D Street, Suite A Benicia, California 22 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: 23 24 CONSTANCE CELAYA In Propria Persona 25 FOR THE DEFENDANT: 26 27

TINA MARIE McCONNELL, CSR #11917

1		
2	ĪÄDĒX	
3		
4	witnesses	
5	CHRISTINE CHANG	
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ZIMBA CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CELAYA	8 35
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ZIMBA RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CELAYA	43
8	FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CELAYA FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ZIMBA	62 63
9	FORTIER REPTRECT EXPERIMENTAL PLANE	•
10	ERIC SUN	
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ZIMBA CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CELAYA	65 69
12	CROSS BATTAIN DE NOT COMMIN	
13	CONSTANCE PEPPERS CELAYA	
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ZIMBA	73 76
15		
16		,
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

TINA MARIE McCONNELL, CSR #11917

### PROCEEDINGS

August 5, 2004

---000---

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Let's get on the record. Calling the matter of Chang and Sun versus

Constance Celaya, 2002-046048. This matter is on for a court trial. Representing the plaintiffs.

MS. ZIMBA: Pamela Zimba.

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: Representing Ms. Celaya.

MS. CELAYA: Constance Celaya.

THE COURT: Ms. Celaya is in pro per. And I understand that certain documents were filed this morning. I didn't see the plaintiffs' trial management statement, and I understand you all have filed exhibits or have presented the clerk with some exhibits. Are the plaintiffs ready?

MS. ZIMBA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you ready, Ms. Celaya?

MS. CELAYA: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Did you wish to make an

MS. ZIMBA: Yes. Just a brief one, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, please.

MS. ZIMBA: On Monday, December 10th, 2001, Christine Chang and Eric Chang were assaulted by the defendant,

Constance Celaya. The assault occurred in the laundry room at the Rockridge Manor Homeowners' Association.

The laundry room was on the third floor of the complex and adjacent to unit number 313. Unit number 313 is

the unit that the plaintiffs, Christine Chang and her son Eric Sun lived in while they lived at the complex.

2

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Christine Chang will testify that it was just the defendant Constance Celaya and herself that were in the laundry room for a large portion of the assault. She will also tell you that it was the defendant, Constance Celaya who initiated the assault.

What we're here today to have you determine, Judge, is not so much a question of who it was that initiated the assault as much as it is a question of whether the defendant used excessive force under the circumstances, whether or not that excessive force was reasonable under the circumstances, whether or not the defendant's actions were the direct and/or the proximate cause of the plaintiffs' injuries, and whether or not the plaintiff, Christine Chang suffered both physical and emotional injuries as a result of the assault. And although Eric Sun suffered only minor physical injuries, he did suffer emotional distress, and he will testify to that.

Christine Chang will testify that she went into the laundry room at approximately 8:30 at night, that she put her wash in the machines, realized she didn't have quarters, went back to her unit which was directly next door, was getting quarters out of her room, heard a lot of banging going on in the laundry room, raced back into the laundry room only to find that her wash had been taken out of the laundry, out of the washing machines, put on top, somebody else's wash was in the machines, and the machines were

TINA MARIE McCONNELL, CSR #11917

3

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

26

27

28

And then a couple minutes later, the door opened and her son came in and he grabbed me. So now I had her over here and him over here and they were both pulling on me. He was hitting on me and he was pulling on me and I was in there alone. I was there all by myself and these two people were basically hitting me.

And then the door opened again a few minutes later and Mr. Bailey came in. Mr. Bailey lives -- the laundry room is like this. He lived up the stairs there to the side. And he said: What are you guys doing? Stop. This is ridiculous. And he separated everybody.

And I was really, really out of breath. I was really winded and I said: I need to call the police. Call the police. And then his wife got the phone, cordless phone, and I called 911 and then we waited for the police to come.

We were all standing in the -- as you're outside the laundry room right next to that, there is the elevator door. And then you go up three stairs, four stairs, and there are two more condominiums. And I was standing up on that level near the Baileys, and she was down on the level where the door is to the laundry room

And then she ran back in the laundry room and grabbed my clothes and started throwing them over the back of the washer. And Mr. Bailey went in and said: Stop. Stop. That's stupid. Don't do that. And then his wife went and called the police again and said this thing is still going on. We need the police as soon as possible.

Then the police came and they asked what was

TINA MARIE McCONNELL, CSR #11917

happening, and I don't personally recall which officer went where, I just know the officers went to each person and asked what was going on. And she was screaming, and I don't mean to sound weird about this but she said: She policewoman. She policewoman. She scratch me. Scratch me. And she went like that on her.

76

And I said: I am not a police officer. And I said: She hit me and I hit her back. And they, you know, they asked more questions and they took me back to my condo down the hall. And one of the officers, again I don't remember which, said: Look, if we come back out here again, someone is going to get arrested. We don't want you to get in trouble and get fired from your job, and they left and that was that.

The next thing I knew, which was really -- I sat down in my living room which was -- I just, I was appalled. The whole situation was appalling.

In the line of work I have, I have trust and I have -- I don't do criminal acts. I try to stay very clean in my community and I try to get along with my neighbors, but I don't believe in just getting pelted either. You hit me, it's self-defense. And that's basically what happened that night.

24 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Zimba, you want to question Ms. Celaya.

MS. ZIMBA: I do have questions, Judge.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. ZIMBA: Ms. Celaya, when you went into the

TINA MARIE McCONNELL, CSR #11917

laundry room the first time and you saw the clothes in the washing machine, did you know whose clothes those were?

3

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

1

2

٥. You had no idea?

5 No.

6 And there were clothes in both of the washing

machines. Correct?

I believe so, but I couldn't say I really recall

that. I don't know.

You've testified that after you saw the clothes in 11 the machine, you went back to your unit, waited the 15 12 minutes, and then came back into the laundry room. Right?

13

14 When you came back into the laundry room the second 15

time, you still saw clothes in the washing machine. Right?

17 ٥. Did you recognize those as being the same clothes

18 that had been in the machines when you came in the first

19 time?

24

20 Yes, they were. Yes.

21 How could you tell that they were the same clothes? Q.

22 Same colors. Same patterns.

23 ٥. Had you looked at the clothes?

I looked at them because you open the door to put

25 clothes in and you see clothes.

26 ٥. So you did believe that those were the clothes that 27 had been in the machines when you came, when you were there

the first time?

A. Correct.

2 ٥. So it was getting -- what time was it when you came

into the laundry room the second time to put your clothes in

the machine?

A. Fifteen minutes later. All I know is on the clock.

Maybe a quarter to 9, 10 to nine. I don't know.

What time does the laundry room close? ٥.

At 11. Your clothes should be through by 11.

Whose clothes were you washing that night?

10 My son's. He was in middle school at the time.

11 ٥. And this was a school night. Correct?

12 I don't recall.

13 Did you need these clothes for the next day?

Yes. Every time I wash, I need the clothes, yes. 14

15 So you needed the clothes for the next day?

16

17 So is it fair for me to say that at this point, at

18 approximately 10 minutes to 9 on a school night, that you

were rushed to get your laundry done before the

20 11:00 o'clock cutoff point?

21 Well, if I didn't get them washed, I always have

22 clothes in the closet. I mean we can, I can think of other

23 things to do. But yes, I would want to wash the clothes,

24 yes.

19

25 ٥. Your son at that time was going to a school that

26 required him to wear a uniform; is that correct?

27

28 Q. So you were washing his uniforms; is that right?

- A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Did you have extra uniforms available for him to wear
  - the next day?
- A. I usually have at least one set or have one set.
- 5 Q. Did you have extra uniforms available?
- 6 A. I couldn't recall, ma'am. I don't know.
- 7 Q. So you put your laundry in the two machines; is that
- 8 | right?

- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. So you were using both of the machines at that point?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. You had taken Christine's laundry out of two machines
- 13 and put them on top of the washers?
- 14 A. I took clothes out of the machine and put them on pop
- 15 of the machines. That's correct.
- 6 Q. At that point, you didn't know whose clothes those
- 17 | were?
- 18 A. No, I didn't.
- 19 Q. Once you put the clothes in the machines, you started
- 20 the machines and then you left the laundry room. Correct?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 Q. And how long were you gone once you put the clothes
- 23 in?
- 24 A. Forty minutes.
- 25 Q. So when you came back to the laundry room to check on
- 26 your wash, what time was it?
- 27 A. Forty minutes after the time I put it in. I don't
- 28 know what time it was. But whenever I got back, I looked at

TINA MARIE McCONNELL, CSR #11917

the time on the clock and then I know what 40 minutes is from there and I go down the hall.

3 Q. So you testified that you came in, put your laundry

4 in the machine at around 10 minutes to nine. Right?

5 A. Right. 9:30 maybe.

6 Q. So about 9:30, you're back in the laundry room. And

what do you find when you come into the laundry room?

8 A. My wet clothes on the floor.

9 Q. Were your wet clothes on the floor from both of the

10 machines or just one of the machines?

11 A. I believe one machine. I believe one.

12 Q. Were there any clothes left in the machine that was

13 open?

2

14 A. Maybe a couple pairs of pants.

15 Q. When you saw that your clothes had been taken out of

16 the machine, did you know who had done that?

17 A. No

18 Q. Did you have any suspicions as to who had done that?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Did you have any reason at that point to suspect that

21 Christine Chang had come in and taken your clothes out?

22 A. No

23 Q. Did you ever have that happen to you before?

24 A. No

25 Q. So as I understand it, Christine Chang came back,

26 came into the laundry room while you were picking up your

27 | clothes; is that right?

28 A. That's correct.

TINA MARIE McCONNELL, CSR #11917

Q. It's your testimony that she started to pull your clothes --

3 A. Out of my hands.

Q. -- out of your hands?

A. Correct

6 Q. Was she grabbing your clothes or was she grabbing

your hands?

hand.

10

13

18

19

21

22

8 A. She was grabbing the -- for instance, I had the 9 clothes and she was trying to pull the clothes out of my

11 Q. What did she say to you?

12 A. I really don't remember what she said. She was

speaking in loud tones and with an angry voice. I can't

14 remember word for word what she said. Basically something

15 about her wash.

16 Q. Did Christine ask you: Why did you take my clothes

17 out of the laundry?

A. If she did, I can't recall that conversation because she was screaming so loudly, and at that point we were

20 pushing each other.

At that point, the laundry was the least of the situation because now we have an altercation going on.

Q. And Ms. Celaya, when you came into the laundry room and saw the clothes in the machine the first time, why

25 didn't you just go downstairs to a different laundry room?

26 A. I never go to another floor, because each floor has

27 the same amount of people on them and everybody usually

TINA MARIE McCONNELL. CSR #11917

28 washes their clothes certain days. I usually just wait. So

I waited my 15 minutes and came back and they were still in
the same -- not wet. Still sitting there. So I just took

3 them out.

4 Q. Did you go down to -- you live on the third floor.

5 Right?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. And there's three floors in this condominium complex?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. Did you go down to the first floor to see if the

10 | laundry room was available?

11 A. I never go to other floors to wash.

12 Q. You did not go to the first floor?

13 A. I did not. I never go to the first floor.

14 Q. You didn't go to the second floor?

15 A. As I stated, I never go to the second floor to wash.

16 I always wash on the floor I live on.

17 Q. When Ms. Chang came into the laundry room, were you

18 at that point angry enough to hit someone?

19 A. No. I have never been angry enough to hit anyone.

20 You can always talk about something. I work in law

21 enforcement. I know what it means to have charges filed. I

22 take 911 calls all day long. I don't think there is ever

any reason to hit someone unless it's in self-defense.

24 Q. And you work as public dispatcher; is that right?

25 A. Public safety dispatcher.

26 Q. As a public safety dispatcher, what was your

27 training?

28 A. How to handle emergency calls. How to deal with

people who are upset, either on the phone or at the front
counter.

I deal with a lot of homeless people. I deal with a lot of people who have mental disabilities. I deal with a lot of people who are angry because they get tickets. And one of my jobs is to try to solve those problems, and it's not in a physical manner.

Q. So is it fair to say that at the time Christine came into the laundry room the first time, she was upset?

A. Yes

3

10

11 Q. Did you recognize her as being upset at that point?

12 A. Well, I didn't really look in her face. I said: Hi,

13 Christine. And when she grabbed the clothes, obviously she

14 was upset.

15 Q. You knew she was upset?

16 A. At that point.

17 Q. Did you try to talk her down?

18 A. I said to her: What are you doing? Basically, what

19 are you doing, leave me alone. When she pushed me, I was:

20 Go away. Leave me alone. And then when she hit me, she hit

21 me.

1 0.

5

6

7

22 Q. Where did she hit you, Ms. Celava?

23 A. She pushed me against the wall, and then she hit me

24 in my shoulder right here.

25 Q. Did she hit you with her fist or her hand?

26 A. I don't recall.

Q. Were you hurt as a result of that?

28 A. It was discomforting but not hurt.

TINA MARIE MCCONNELL, CSR #11917

Q. My understanding is that at some point you grabbed

2 Christine; is that correct?

3 A. That is correct.

4 Q. And where did you grab her?

A. I grabbed her by the front of her shirt, and I said

6 get out of here and leave me alone, grabbed her by the front

of her shirt, pulled the door open, and pushed her out.

8 Q. Okay. So when you grabbed her by the shirt, where

9 was she standing at that point? Was she in front of the

10 | door?

18

1

11 A. She was in front of the door.

12 Q. Which way did the door open?

13 A. The door opens into the laundry room.

14 Q. So it opened toward you. Correct?

15 A. Um-hmm

16 Q. And you're left-handed. Right?

17 A. That's correct.

Q. So when you pulled the door open, what hand did you

19 use to pull the door open?

20 A. The right hand and shoved her out.

21 Q. So you pulled the door open with your right hand.

22 Then you must have been holding Christine with your --

23 A. I was holding on her shirt.

24 Q. -- holding her with the left hand?

25 A. Um-hmm.

26 THE COURT: Don't talk over each other.

27 Q. BY MS. ZIMBA: Did you pull Christine toward you?

28 A. To get the door open?

TINA MARIE MCCONNELL, CSR #11917

Vec.

A. I kind of had her like this and pushed her to the 3 side, opened the door, and pushed her out.

Q. And you pushed her out the door with your left hand?

A. Right. And closed the door.

THE COURT: Is the door locked at that point?

THE WITNESS: Yes. It locks from the -- yes. You

8 need a key to get back in.

9 Q. BY MS. ZIMBA: From the outside. Correct?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. Ms. Celaya, in your deposition that was taken in

12 September of 2003 -- do you remember having your deposition

13 taken?

14 A. I remember the deposition.

15 O. At that point, Ms. Chang and Mr. Sun were represented

16 by a different attorney; is that correct?

17 A. Um-hmm.

18 Q. That was Mr. Sirota at that point?

19 A. (Witness shrugs.)

20 Q. In your deposition, on page 36 you testified that --

this is page 36 line number 1 -- you testified: As of that point, because she was all over me, I grabbed the door, I

point, because the man and the deep closed. T

grabbed her, and I pushed her out and the door closed. I
proceeded to pick up my clothes.

25 Is that right?

A. Yes.

26

27 Q. And on page 44 of the deposition, in reference to the 28 same moment when you are holding Ms. Chang with your left

TINA MARIE MCCONNELL. CSR #11917

hand, you then testified, and this is on lines three through

7: Let me see the sequence. When she grabbed me, I guess I

3 threw the clothes down. I don't, I don't know how that

4 went. And then I just grabbed her back and shoved her as

5 hard as I could against the door, opened the door, and

shoved her out.

7 A. Um-hmm.

Q. Is that correct?

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. So which is it, Ms. Celaya? Is it that you grabbed

11 her and pushed her out the door, or is it you grabbed her as

12 hard as you could and you shoved her out the door?

13 A. I don't see any difference there. I grabbed her and

14 I shoved her out the door.

15 Q. But according to your testimony on page 44, you

16 shoved her: As hard as I could against the door, opened the

17 door, and shoved her out. Is this testimony incorrect?

18 A. I see what you're saying in terms of the sequence.

19 It's been a long time. But I did shove her. I don't know

20 if she went against the door or if the door opened.

21 Whatever it says there. But I did shove her out as hard as 22 I could.

i could.

Q. Did you believe at that point that you had hurt

24 Ms. Chang?

25 A. No.

26 Q. Did you think you hurt Ms. Chang when you shoved her

27 out the door?

28 A. No.

TINA MARIE MCCONNELL, CSR #11917

- Q. Did you think you hurt Ms. Chang when you shoved her against the door as hard as you could?
  - A. No, because she came back.

5

- Q. Did you care if you hurt Ms. Chang, Ms. Celaya?
- A. Of course I did, but I also cared if I got hurt.
- 6 Q. Is it fair to say, Ms. Celaya, that you must be
- 7 pretty strong to have been able to shove Christine up
- 8 against the door as hard as you could and open the door with
- 9 your right hand and shoved her out the door as hard as you
- 10 could; is it fair to say you must be pretty strong?
- 11 A. Not really. When you're in a situation like that,
- 12 you get an adrenaline rush and fear kicks in, and I probably
- 13 was just as afraid as she was and I felt I was being
- 14 attacked and I was doing self-defense and I did whatever I
- 15 could to get her off me and get her out of the room.
- 16 O. Were you at that point, when you were shoving
- 17 Ms. Chang out the door, were you afraid of Ms. Chang?
- 18 A. Was I afraid of Ms. Chang? Not necessarily afraid of
- 19 her, the person, but the situation. And at that point, you
- 20 know, how did we even get into this situation? I am a grown
- 21 woman. I work for law enforcement. This is not my
- 22 demeanor. This is not what I do. I don't touch people. It
- 23 was just totally over the top. So at that point, it was
- 24 more just disgust.
- 25 Q. So at that point when you shoved Ms. Chang out the
- 26 door, you were not afraid of Ms. Chang, were you?
- 27 A. Not anymore. No. She was gone.
- 28 Q. At the moment when you were still inside and

TINA MARIE McCONNELL, CSR #11917

- 1 Ms. Chang was still inside the laundry room and you are
  2 opening the door with your right hand and you're shoving her
- 3 out with your left hand, were you at that point in time
- 4 afraid of Ms. Chang?
- 5 A. I was afraid of the situation because I know that
- 6 what happens, what the consequences of these kinds of
- 7 situations are, especially for me in the kind of job that I
- 8 hold. Especially after she hollered: You're trying to kill
- 9 me. You're trying to kill me. That just lent itself to a
- 10 | whole lot of things in my mind what could go on here.
- 12 back to where you live, I will get my clothes, and I will go

I wanted her away from me. Out of where I was. Go

- 13 to where I live. Let's be away from each other.
- 14 Q. So it wasn't so much that you were afraid of
- 15 Ms. Chang at that point. It was more that you had these
- 16 thoughts going through your mind about what could happen?
- 17 A. After the initial situation and she was hitting me
- 18 and I was hitting her and she was screaming, all I wanted
- 19 her to do was go away.
- 20 Q. And this is when she's come into the laundry room the
- 21 first time:
- 22 A. This is the first time, because I was trying to get
- 23 her off of me and I said: Go away. Leave me alone.
- Q. My question still is: Were you afraid of Ms. Chang
- 25 or were you more afraid of what you believed would be the
- 26 | potential repercussions from this incident?
- 27 A. I would say they were equal.
- 28 Q. So are you now saying you were afraid of Ms. Chang?

TINA MARIE MCCONNELL, CSR #11917

Ť

- A. I am -- I was afraid of what was going on there.
- 2 She's, she was acting in an irrational manner. You really
- 3 can't -- I'm not a shrink or anything like that, but when
- 4 people are going off, yes you are afraid in that sense. I'm 5 not afraid of her as a person, but I'm afraid of what is
- 6 going on in her mind and the volatility of the situation.
- Q. Did you fear for your life at that point?
- 8 A. Not at that point. I was afraid when both of them
- were in the room and I was alone,
- 10 Q. I'm asking you about --
- 11 A. Not at that moment, no.
- 12 Q. At the moment when you shoved her out the 3cor as
- 13 hard as you could, were you afraid of Ms. Chang?
- 14 A. No. I shoved her out as hard as I could so she would 15 go away and leave me alone.
- 16 Q. Do you think there was perhaps another way you could
- 17 have had her leave the laundry room other than to shove her 18 out the door as hard as you could?
- 19 A. That might have been possible if she hadn't been
- 20 hitting me.
- 21 Q. So are you saying that at the moment in time that you
- 22 shoved her out the door, she was hitting you?
- A. No. By that time I had gotten her -- she had hit me.

  24 so many times. By that time, I had gotten her to a distance
- 25 that I just pushed her out. Because she's taller than I am.

TINA MARIE McCONNELL, CSR #11917

- 26 She's got longer arms. She has longer legs. She has a
- 27 longer reach.
- 28 Q. How many times did she -- according to you, how many

- times did she hit you this first time?
- 2 A. Enough that it was to get her out and get her off of
- me. Once is too much, ma'am, but she hit me more than once.
- 4 Q. Okay. I thought you had testified just a few minutes
- 5 ago that she hit you once on your shoulder?
- 6 A. She hit me here -- your question was where did she
- 7 hit you. She hit me a number of times, but the initial
- 8 thing I felt was in my shoulder.
- 9 Q. So you're saying that there were additional times
- 10 when you're in the laundry room the first time when
  - Ms. Chang hit you?
- 12 A. Um-hmm.

- 13 Q. How many times did she hit you?
- 14 A. I cannot tell. When she hit me, she grabbed me. She
- 15 was trying to lock up my arms and stuff like that. She
- 16 would not get off me. I kept saying: Leave me alone.
- 17 Leave me alone. Go away. There is no way I could tell you
- 18 how many times she hit me.
  19 Q. At the time you shoved her out the door, I believe
- 20 it's your testimony that she was not hitting you at that
- 21 point?
- 22 A. Not at that moment. Not when I had her like that.
- 23 Q. Did you go to the doctor?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 O. Did you think you needed to go to the doctor?
- 26 A. No, ma'am.
- 27 Q. So after you shoved Christine out the door, what
- 28 happened?

And then she came back in and she just started hitting me again, and two minutes later her son came in there. Now there were three of us in there.

٥. So when Ms. Chang comes back in the laundry room, the very first thing she does, according to your testimony, is

#### 9 she just started hitting you?

10 Yes. There was no discussion. She did not try to talk to me or reason with me or any of that kind of stuff. 11

- How did she hit you? 12
- With her hand. 13
- 14 With her hand or her fist?
- 15 With her hand. She was -- she, you know, tried to grab my clothes and I tried to push her off. And then there 16
- 17 were some keys somewhere. I don't know if they were mine or
- 18 hers, and we started going all the way around this small
- 19 square room, you know, holding onto each other -- two
- dryers, two washers, the door, the garbage chute -- just 20
- going around this room. And then I heard the door open 21
- again, and it was her son.
- Did you at any point in time while you were engaging 23
- in this altercation with Ms. Chang, did you at any point in
- time, at this point stop?
- What do you mean by "stop?" 26
- 27 Did you just stop hitting her?
- 28 So she could hit me? Α.

TINA MARIE McCONNELL, CSR #11917

- Q. I'm asking if you stopped hitting her? 1
- 2 No. Not after she was hitting me. No. A.
- There wasn't any point during this portion of the 3 ٥.

92

94

- altercation that you even attempted to stop?
- ٥. Did you at any point in time think Ms. Chang was

### perhaps defending herself?

- No, because she hit me first. Α.
- Did you think at any point in time that Ms. Chang was
- simply trying to get you off of her? 10
- 11 A.

8

18

You've indicated that you were hitting Ms. Chang; is 12 Q.

#### that right? 13

- 14 A. We were hitting each other.
- You've indicated in your testimony, Ms. Celaya, that 15
- you hit Ms. Chang; is that right? 16
- In self-defense. Correct. 17
  - Q. Would you describe for the Court how hard you think
- 19 you hit Ms. Chang?
- Hard enough so hopefully she would leave me alone. 20 A.
- 21 Did you hit her with your first or with your hand? ٥.
- 22 I'm sure I hit her with both.
- 23 You did at some point in time hit Ms. Chang with a
- 24 closed fist; is that right?
- I'm sure I did.
- 26 ٥. Where did you -- where on Ms. Chang's body did you
- 27 hit her?
- 28 I couldn't testify to that. All I know is I was

TINA MARIE McCONNELL, CSR #11917

hitting her body. I have no clue as to what parts of her body. I have no idea. I was just hitting her.

As I said, she's taller than I. She was all over me like this, and I was trying to have her leave me alone.

- In your job as a police dispatcher -- how long have 5 6 you been in that job?
- Almost 27 years.
- Did you at some point in time receive training to
- become a police officer, a public dispatcher?
- 10

2

3

- Did you receive any type of judo or karate-type 11 ٥.
- :2 training as a part of your job?
- 13 No.
- Did you receive any type of techniques training and 14 ٥.
  - techniques in terms of handling people in difficult

### 16 situations? 17

15

24

25

26

- Yes. About 20 years ago.
- Have you ever updated that training since then? 18 n.
- 19 A.
- 20 In your deposition, Ms. Celaya, on page 56 you say
- 21 on -- on line 1 you say: No, I hit her again.
- And then the question is: Okay. Did you attempt to 22

#### block her striking you on the left clavicle? 23

- Answer, your answer: Yeah
  - Question: How did you try to block her?
  - Answer: With an arm bar. You know, I put my arm up.
- 27 And then on line 21 the question is: Okay, so your
- recollection would be that it was your left hand?

- Answer: 99 percent probably, yes.
- Question: Clenched? 2
- Answer: Oh, yeah. A fist. 3
- THE COURT: Ms. Zimba, if you want to read a little
- more, but we're going to take our lunch break soon.
  - MS. ZIMBA: I'm almost finished, Judge.
- MS. ZIMBA: Should we just take our break?
- THE COURT: You want to continue?
- 10 MS. ZIMBA: Yes.
- 11 THE COURT: How much longer?
- MS. 2IMBA: I would say probably 10 minutes. 12
- THE COURT: No. Let's break. Let's break. And if 13 14 you could come back at two, then we'll pick up with
- 15 Ms. Celava. I have some questions of Ms. Celava, and then
- it will give time for the doctor to arrive. Okay. 16
- 17 (Recess.)

18

21

### AFTERNOON SESSION

- THE COURT: All right. Ms. Celaya, you want to come 19 back up, please, and you're still under oath. All right. 20
  - THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
- THE COURT: Go ahead. 22
- 23 O. BY MS. ZIMBA: Ms. Celaya, I want to refocus your
- attention. When Christine came back in the laundry room the
- second time, where were you standing at that point when she 25
- 26 came into the room?
- 27 I think I was standing in front of the dryers.
- And how far away from the door were the dryers?

- A. Maybe two steps, three steps.
- 2 Q. So is it your testimony that Christine just walked
- into the laundry room and walked over to you and hit you?
- A. She walked in, she continued screaming, and she pushed me and started hitting me.
- 6 Q. And when she pushed you and started hitting you, did
- you land up against anything in the laundry room?
- 8 A. I fell back against the west wall.
  - Q. And when she pushed you, what did you then do?
- 10 A. Pushed her back. I mean the fight ensued again.
- 11 Q. Did you have an opportunity at that point to refrain
- 12 from pushing her back?
- 13 A. No
- 14 Q. So you don't think that at that point in time when
- 15 Christine allegedly came in and pushed you that you could,
- 16 have stopped the fight at that point by simply not pushing
- 17 back?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. And then after she pushed you and you pushed her, did
- 20 you then start hitting her?
- 21 A. She was hitting me and I was hitting her back.
- 22 Q. You were hitting her with both an open fist and a
- 23 | closed fist; is that right?
- 24 A. I was hitting her with whatever. Maybe open hand.
- 25 Maybe closed. I don't know. At that point I know we were
- 26 hitting each other.
- 27 Q. Did you hit her with an open hand?
- 28 A. I'm sure I did.

TINA MARIE McCONNELL, CSR #11917

- Q. Did you hit her with your fist?
- 2 A. Possibly. I can't say for sure. Possibly.
- 3 At that point once she came back in the room for a
  4 second time and we got into it. I realized that she wasn't
- 5 just going to go away. I mean she came back into that room
- and started hitting me.
- Q. Would you describe for me how hard you hit her?
- 8 A. I have no idea about how hard I hit her. I knew I
- 9 hit her hard enough to make her go back, but then she kept
- 10 coming on so we were basically doing this.
- 11 Q. You mentioned this morning that there were some keys.
- 12 When you came into the laundry room initially, did you have
- 13 keys in your possession?
- 14 A. Yes. That's the only way to get in there.
- 15 Q. Did you notice whether Christine had any keys with
- 16 her?
- 17 A. She had to, to get into the room.
- 18 Q. Did you see keys in her possession?
- 19 A. Did I see them in her hand? No. But that would be
- 20 the only way she could get into the room because it's
- 21 locked.
- 22 Q. But you did not see them in her hand. Right.
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Where were your keys?
- 25 A. My keys were in the cubbies, the door that you get
- 26 your laundry detergent and whatever else out of.
- 27 Q. I believe you mentioned earlier today that you
- 28 thought there were keys involved at the time that you were

TINA MARIE McCONNELL, CSR #11917

fighting with Christine?

- 2 A. Um-hmm
- 3 O. Whose keys were those?
- 4 A. Maybe hers because mine were in the door. I can't
- 5 say for sure.
- 6 Q. You don't know?
- 7 A. No, but in order to get in, you need keys. Everybody
- 8 needs their own key to get in.
- 9 Q. When you were hitting Ms. Chang with your hand, how
- 10 many times did you hit her?
- 11 A. I have no idea. I just, every time she hit me, I hit
- 12 her. I was defending myself against her.
- 13 0. Mere you defending yourself or were you hitting her?
- 14 A. I was defending myself against her hitting me.
- 15 Q. By hitting her back?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And were you hitting her with your left hand? Your
- 18 right hand?
- 19 A. Probably with both hands.
- 20 Q. And then at some point did you start hitting her with
- 21 your fists?
- 22 A. I can't say for sure. She hit me a couple times with
- 23 her fists, so maybe.
- 24 Q. How hard would you say that you were hitting
- Ms. Chang when you were hitting her with either your hand or your fist?
- 27 A. I don't know how to measure that.
- 28 Q. Well, can you tell me in your own words how hard you

1 think you were hitting her?

- 2 A. I was hoping I hit her hard enough to make her back
- 3 up and leave me alone and not hit me again.
- 4 O. How hard was that?
- 5 A. I can't measure that. I don't know.
- 6 Q. Back to your deposition testimony on the page 56 line
- 7 21, line 20. You say you're left handed. And on line 21
- 8 the question is: Okay, so your recollection would be that
- 9 it was your left hand?
- Your answer: Ninety-nine percent probably, yes.
- 11 Question: Clenched?
- 12 Answer: Oh, yeah. A fist.
  - Does that help refresh your memory that you did hit
- 14 her with your fist?

- 15 A. As I said, I probably hit her with an open hand and a
- 16 fist. I mean it was a fight. It wasn't like two
- 17 10-year-olds going like this. It was two grown women, one
- 18 who was attacking me who was much taller than I and pushed
- 19 me up against the wall. I pushed her out. She came back
- 20 in. The second time she came in, that's totally attacking
- 21 me. That's a whole other issue.
- 22 Q. Page 57 of your deposition testimony on line 19 it
- 23 says: So during this period of time, you hit her as often
- 24 as you could?
- 25 Answer on line 21: As much as I could get hits in
- 26 since she was still all over me and not leaving me alone.
- 27 Question: And you hit her as hard as you could?
- 28 Answer: Hopefully, yes.